<u>NEWS RELEASE</u>



OFFICE OF THE UNITED STATES ATTORNEY SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

San Diego, California

United States Attorney Carol C. Lam

For Further Information, Contact: Assistant United States Attorney Anne Perry (619) 557-5767

For Immediate Release

NEWS RELEASE SUMMARY - March 1, 2005

United States Attorney Carol C. Lam announced today the arraignment in San Diego of David Cory Mayer, Phillip Todd Calvin, and Paul Ernst Zipszer, on an indictment charging them with Conspiracy and Traveling in Interstate Commerce to Engage in Illicit Sexual Conduct. The indictment alleges that the three men met at a convention of the North American Man Boy Love Association (NAMBLA) in November 2004, and were in contact with an individual who was an undercover FBI agent. Following the convention, Mayer and Calvin remained in touch with the undercover agent, and made plans to go to San Diego, and thereafter Mexico for the purpose of having sex with boys. Zipszer, who also attended the convention, joined the travelers in January 2005.

According to Assistant United States Attorney Anne K. Perry, who is prosecuting the case, all three individuals arrived in San Diego on February 11, 2005, and were arrested on February 12, 2005. The three were ordered detained without bail on February 17, 2005 by U.S. Magistrate Judge Nita L. Stormes.

The defendants were arraigned before Magistrate Judge Stormes at 9:00 a.m. today and entered pleas of not guilty. The defendants remain in custody without bail.

The defendants' next court appearance will be on March 25, 2005 before United States District Court Judge Jeffrey Miller.

DEFENDANTS

DAVID CORY MAYER

PHILLIP TODD CALVIN

PAUL ERNST ZIPSZER

SUMMARY OF CHARGES

Conspiracy to Travel and Traveling in Interstate Commerce for the Purpose of Engaging in Illicit Sexual Conduct, in violation of Title 18, United States Code, Sections 2423(e) and (b) Penalties (as to each offense) 30 years' incarceration, \$250,000 fine, \$100 penalty assessment

AGENCY

Federal Bureau of Investigation

An indictment is not evidence that the defendants committed the crimes charged. The defendants are presumed innocent until the Government meets its burden in court of proving guilt beyond a reasonable doubt.